4

565470

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

KEITH NATHANSON and STACY NATHANSON, Plaintiffs,

VS.

JOSEPH MANN & CREED, an Ohio corporation, Defendant.

GARY D. NITZKIN P41155 NITZKIN & ASSOCIATES Attorneys for Plaintiff 22142 West Nine Mile Road Southfield, MI 48034 (248) 353-2882 Fax (248) 353-4840 gnitzkin@creditor-law.com Case: 2:07-cv-15367 Judge: Cook, Julian Abele Referral MJ: Morgan, Virginia M Filed: 12-17-2007 At 04:36 PM CMP NATHANSON, ET AL V. JOSEPH MANN AND CREED (TAM)

COMPLAINT

- The Defendant is an Ohio corporation.
- Defendant is a debt collector as defined at 15 U.S.C. 1692(a)(6).
- 3. Each Plaintiff is a "Consumer" as defined at 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- On August 23, 2007, the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff's at their home, via
 letter, demanding payment of a certain consumer debt.
- On September 14, 2007, Plaintiff Keith Nathanson sent a return letter to the Defendant disputing the debt and directing the Defendant to cease and desist from any further communication with the Plaintiffs.

7. The Defendant again ignored Mr. Nathanson's directive on October 12, 2007 and on October 13, 2007 by telephoning the Plaintiffs' home.

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT.

- 8. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1-7 as of recited verbatim.
- 9. The Defendant, at all relevant times, was attempting to collect a debt as defined at 15 U.S.C. 1692a (5).
- 10. Defendant, in attempting to collect a consumer debt from the Plaintiff violated
 15 USC 1692(c)(3) of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act by
 communicating with the Plaintiffs after being expressly advised not to contact
 them anymore.
- Plaintiff is entitled to damages of \$1,000 per violation plus reasonable attorneys fees.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS that this court grant it a judgment against the Defendant in the amount of \$1,000 plus costs, interest and reasonable attorneys' fees.

Respectfully submitted

December 11, 2007

GARY D./NITZKIN P4115.

Attorney for Plaintiff

gnitzkin@creditor-law.com

$2:07-cv-153 \textcolor{red}{\bullet} 7 \textcolor{red}{\bullet} 17 \textcolor{red}{\bullet} \textcolor{blue}{\bullet} \textcolor{blue$

The 15 44 civit cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SECINSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

01 h				
(a) PLAINTIFFS Keith Nathanson and Stacy Nathanson		DEFENDANTS Joseph Mann and Cree	ed .	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Oakland County, MI (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	Cuyahoga County, OH ONLY)
(c) Attorney's (Finn Name Gary Nitzkin P 41155 22142 West Nine Mile Road, (248) 353-2882 H. BASIS OF JURISE D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff D 2 U.S Government Defendant		AND CREED (T (For Diversity Cases Only) P Citizen of This State	5367 lian Abele rgan, Virginia M 7 At 04:36 PM ON, ET AL V. JOSEPH AM) FF DEF	MANN The contractor Detection of the contractor
NAME OF SH		Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Select One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & EnforcementofJudgment □ 151 Medicine Act □ 152 Recovery of Definited Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 198 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Test Product Liability □ 290 All Other Rent Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Läability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability □ 330 Federal Employers Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Laability □ 345 Marine Product Laability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 7355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage	A	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 119 Antitrust 150 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 170 Rackover Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 180 Consumer Credit 190 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Standory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
n¶1 _{Orjeinal} □2 _R	t One Box Only) temoved from tate Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	Reinstated or 5 anoth Reopened 1spec		m Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	L15 LISC 1692		\	
VII.' REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		7 /	CHECK YES on JURY DEMAN	ly if demanded in complaint. D: Tyes No No
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE December 11, 2007	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	7			
RECEIPT#/	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP _	JUDGE _	MAG. JI	UDGR

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?
If yes, give	e the following information:
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)
If yes, giv	e the following information:
Court:	
Case No.:	
Judge:	
Notes :	